

# 05

## **ONLINE LEARNING**

### **AND HYBRID EDUCATION: TRENDS AND STRATEGIES IN DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS**



# ONLINE LEARNING

## AND HYBRID EDUCATION: TRENDS AND STRATEGIES IN DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS

### APRENDIZAJE EN LÍNEA Y EDUCACIÓN HÍBRIDA: TENDENCIAS Y ESTRATEGIAS EN ENTORNOS DIGITALES

Rizki Damayanti<sup>1</sup>

E-mail: rizki.damayanti@paramadina.ac.id

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7038-2142>

<sup>1</sup> Department of International Relations, Universitas Paramadina, Indonesia.

Suggested citation (APA, 7th edition)

Damayanti, R. (2026). Online learning and hybrid education: trends and strategies in digital environments. *Revista Metropolitana de Ciencias Aplicadas*, 9(2), 47-54.

**Submission:** 11/12/2025

**Acceptance:** 01/23/2026

**Publication:** 03/01/26

#### ABSTRACT

This article analyzes the transformation of higher education through online and hybrid learning, modalities that respond to the growing need for flexibility, personalization, and accessibility in interconnected digital environments. Using a qualitative-descriptive approach based on a review of recent research, the article examines the characteristics of these models, technological tools, synchronous and asynchronous strategies, as well as emerging trends and challenges in their implementation. The results show that combining face-to-face and virtual interaction, supported by online platforms and active methodologies, enhances participation, self-regulation, peer collaboration, and the autonomous construction of knowledge, while institutional leadership, strategic planning, and faculty development are crucial for its effectiveness. Furthermore, the article identifies benefits such as personalized learning, educational inclusion, and continuity of education, along with challenges related to the digital divide, time management, and the development of advanced technological skills. The findings highlight that these models are not merely methodological alternatives, but transformative strategies that allow universities to offer more resilient, equitable, and innovative educational experiences, coherently integrating technology, pedagogy, and the physical environment, and positioning hybrid and online learning as a central axis of 21st-century education, capable of anticipating and responding to the challenges of a global and digitally advanced society.

#### Keywords:

Hybrid learning, online education, educational innovation, digital skills, LMS platforms, learning analytics.

#### RESUMEN

El presente artículo analiza la transformación de la educación superior mediante el aprendizaje en línea y la educación híbrida, modalidades que responden a la creciente necesidad de flexibilidad, personalización y accesibilidad en entornos digitales interconectados; a través de un enfoque cualitativo-descriptivo basado en la revisión de investigaciones recientes, se examinaron características de estos modelos, herramientas tecnológicas, estrategias sincrónicas y asincrónicas, así como tendencias emergentes y desafíos en su implementación. Los resultados evidencian que la combinación de interacción presencial y virtual, apoyada en plataformas en línea y metodologías activas, potencia la participación, la autorregulación, la colaboración entre pares y la construcción autónoma del conocimiento, mientras que el liderazgo institucional, la planificación estratégica y la capacitación docente son determinantes para su efectividad. Asimismo, se identifican beneficios como la personalización del aprendizaje, la inclusión educativa y la continuidad formativa, junto a desafíos relacionados con la brecha digital, la gestión del tiempo y el desarrollo de competencias tecnológicas avanzadas. Las conclusiones destacan que estos modelos no constituyen meras alternativas metodológicas, sino estrategias transformadoras que permiten a las universidades ofrecer experiencias educativas más resilientes, equitativas e innovadoras, integrando de manera coherente tecnología, pedagogía y entorno físico, y posicionando al aprendizaje híbrido y en línea como eje central de la educación del siglo XXI, capaz de anticipar y responder a los desafíos de una sociedad global y digitalmente avanzada.

#### Palabras clave:

Aprendizaje híbrido, educación en línea, innovación educativa, competencias digitales, plataformas LMS, analíticas de aprendizaje.

## INTRODUCTION

Contemporary higher education is undergoing profound transformation, driven by the integration of digital technologies that are reshaping teaching and learning processes. The adoption of online and hybrid learning modalities responds to a growing demand for flexibility, accessibility, and personalized education in an interconnected global context. Educational institutions have recognized that traditional approaches, based exclusively on face-to-face instruction, limit opportunities for active participation, pedagogical innovation, and the development of essential digital skills for the 21st century (Hidalgo Barreno et al., 2023). This transformation not only implies a change of platform but also a rethinking of pedagogical strategies, in which meaningful interaction, student autonomy, and the collaborative construction of knowledge become central to learning.

Strategies in digital environments are not limited to simply transferring content to the internet; they aim to design learning experiences that integrate theory and practice through interactive and collaborative tools. Learning management systems (LMS), videoconferencing platforms, discussion forums, and collaborative spaces facilitate both synchronous and asynchronous experiences, strengthening student motivation, engagement, and self-regulation (Chávez et al., 2025; Heathen, 2025). Hybrid education, for its part, combines the best of face-to-face and virtual learning, integrating diverse resources such as flipped classrooms, personalized tutoring, collaborative projects, and interactive content, thus offering more flexible educational pathways adapted to the needs and learning pace of each student (Hidalgo Barreno et al., 2023).

Recent trends in higher education demonstrate a growing interest in incorporating learning analytics, understood as tools capable of monitoring student participation, evaluating performance in real time, and dynamically adapting pedagogical strategies (Becerra et al., 2025). These technologies allow instructors to identify behavioral patterns, detect early difficulties, and personalize learning pathways according to each student's needs and learning pace (Cáceres, 2026). At the same time, the information generated by these analytics provides valuable input for strategic decision-making regarding curriculum design, the management of institutional resources, and the planning of faculty development programs, strengthening the resilience and innovation capacity of universities (Labate & Operti, 2023).

The adoption of digital learning environments, both online and hybrid, demands a significant development of advanced technological skills among teachers and students. This involves not only managing platforms and learning management systems, but also the ability to integrate collaborative tools, critically evaluate information, and foster complex thinking and problem-solving processes in virtual environments (Hall, 2023). Müller & Mildenberger

(2021) emphasize that partially replacing face-to-face time with virtual environments allows for greater educational flexibility, promoting student autonomy, self-regulation, and the active construction of knowledge, provided it is accompanied by a sound pedagogical design and effective support strategies.

Several recent studies highlight that hybrid education not only expands access to higher education but also enhances the quality of learning through active methodologies, meaningful interactions, and online collaboration (Gudoniene et al., 2025). These modalities encourage the participation of students with diverse backgrounds, promote the continuous updating of content, and allow universities to respond quickly to changing and highly interconnected educational contexts. Furthermore, the literature emphasizes that the effective implementation of these models requires a comprehensive institutional commitment, clear support policies, and ongoing faculty development to ensure that digital learning opportunities are equitable and relevant (Hall, 2023; Labate & Operti, 2023).

Analysis of these transformations reveals that online learning and hybrid education are not only methodological alternatives but also central strategies for educational innovation in contemporary higher education. Their successful implementation demands strategic planning, coherent integration of digital tools and active methodologies, and an institutional approach that guarantees quality, equity, and relevance in all educational processes. In this way, universities can offer more flexible, inclusive, and student-centered educational experiences aligned with the challenges and opportunities of the 21st century (Gudoniene et al., 2025; Müller & Mildenberger, 2021).

The objective of this article was to analyze the trends and strategies of online learning and hybrid education in higher education, evaluating their impact on the educational experience, the development of digital skills and pedagogical innovation in digital environments.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative-descriptive approach, based on a review of recent research on online learning, hybrid education, LMS platforms, and digital pedagogical strategies. Academic articles, technical reports, and specialized literature from the last five years were compiled, selecting sources for their relevance, currency, and substantive contribution to understanding trends and strategies in digital environments.

The information was organized into analytical categories: (a) characteristics of online and hybrid learning; (b) technological tools and LMS platforms; (c) synchronous and asynchronous strategies; and (d) emerging trends and challenges. In addition, case studies were analyzed that demonstrate good practices and challenges in the

implementation of these models, providing a comprehensive overview of their application in higher education.

## DEVELOPMENT

Online learning and hybrid education models have emerged as flexible educational solutions that combine in-person instruction with virtual experiences. Hybrid education, also known as blended learning, is a flexible approach that combines face-to-face instruction with virtual learning. Learning integrates face-to-face and digital activities to build environments that meet the diverse needs of students and promote active and collaborative learning (Davis, 2025).

These approaches are based on pillars such as pedagogies adapted to the digital world, teachers' skills in educational technologies, adequate connectivity, robust LMS platforms, and the use of data for monitoring and feedback. Strategies such as flipped classrooms, collaborative learning, online debates, and gamification are employed, which strengthen both flexibility and interaction across different timeframes and digital spaces (Shephard et al., 2024).

Learning management systems (LMS) are essential tools in hybrid and online education. Moodle, Blackboard, Google Classroom, and Microsoft Teams allow for the organization of content, facilitate communication, manage assessments, and monitor academic activities, supporting both synchronous and asynchronous practices (Heathen, 2025).

The strategic use of LMS facilitates real-time sessions, structured discussions, digital assignments, and immediate feedback. Furthermore, these platforms allow for the implementation of learning analytics, helping teachers and administrators to identify participation patterns and design personalized interventions (Becerra et al., 2025).

The combination of synchronous elements (videoconferences, live discussions) and asynchronous elements (forums, recorded resources, self-assessments) enhances student participation and self-regulation. Recent research shows that integrating these strategies increases the depth of learning and promotes autonomous and collaborative knowledge construction (Shephard et al., 2024; Yorganc, 2025).

Structuring asynchronous activities allows students to access materials at their own pace, strengthening the flexibility and adaptability of hybrid models. Furthermore, addressing different learning styles, fostering peer collaboration, and using interactive tools are fundamental elements for effective digital educational experiences (Ghilay, 2024).

Ramírez-Mera et al. (2025) examine the transformation of hybrid learning spaces in higher education, emphasizing the integration of digital technologies and the role of socio-material and embodied spaces in the educational

experience. The authors argue that the success of hybrid learning depends not only on technology, but also on how physical and virtual environments are configured to promote meaningful interactions, collaboration, and active learning.

The analysis details how hybrid spaces must consider mobility, ergonomics, and the availability of technological resources, encouraging students and teachers to adapt simultaneously to in-person and remote learning modalities. This perspective reinforces the idea that educational innovation requires a holistic approach that combines pedagogical design, technology, and the physical environment, contributing to more effective strategies for online and hybrid teaching.

Mendieta-Aragón et al. (2023) address the challenge of peer learning in hybrid and online environments, highlighting that collaboration and interaction among students are critical factors for knowledge retention and skills development. Their study proposes methodologies for structuring student cooperation, including intentionally designed group activities and the use of digital platforms that facilitate asynchronous and synchronous communication. They emphasize that students need guidance on how to manage virtual interaction and collaborative learning, enabling institutions to design strategies that maintain motivation, engagement, and shared responsibility in hybrid and distance learning environments.

Wang et al. (2024) analyze the advantages, challenges, and optimization strategies of hybrid teaching following the COVID-19 pandemic. Benefits include flexibility, expanded access, and the ability to personalize learning, while challenges include teacher preparation, time management, and the effective integration of technology. The authors suggest improvement strategies, such as ongoing teacher training, the planning of interactive content, and the implementation of real-time formative assessments, with the aim of maximizing the effectiveness of hybrid models and ensuring that students maintain deep and meaningful learning.

Navaridas-Nalda et al. (2020) highlight the strategic influence of school principals' leadership on educational digital transformation. Their research shows that the vision, commitment, and decision-making of school leaders directly impact the adoption of digital technologies and the quality of hybrid learning. The implication is clear for higher education: institutional management and academic leadership are crucial for integrating digital platforms, providing faculty support, and developing students' digital skills, thus consolidating an organizational framework that fosters educational innovation.

Abu-Ali (2024) explores the impact of e-learning in the digital age, highlighting how virtual platforms are transforming the acquisition of knowledge and skills. The study underscores the importance of online interaction,

immediate feedback, and the potential for autonomous and personalized learning. It also identifies challenges such as the digital divide, lack of motivation, and the need for advanced digital skills, providing recommendations to optimize the educational experience and ensure that digital technologies become catalysts for effective and sustainable learning.

Benson & Brack (2009) address the role of e-teaching and digital teaching in the development of research on higher education pedagogy. They emphasize that online teaching requires not only technical skills but also pedagogical reflection, instructional planning, and continuous assessment. Their contribution stresses that teachers must build practical and theoretical knowledge about digital education, developing competencies that allow them to design meaningful hybrid learning experiences, foster student participation, and maintain high standards of academic quality.

In addition to the above contributions, Hanelt et al. (2020) analyzed how organizations integrate technology to innovate processes and strategies. Although focused on businesses, their findings are applicable to educational institutions, showing that effective digitalization requires strategic alignment, organizational changes, and commitment from key stakeholders. In higher education, this translates into institutional policies that support the adoption of hybrid environments, faculty training, and technology planning to ensure the effectiveness of digital learning.

Verhoef et al. (2021) offer a multidisciplinary framework for digital transformation that combines technological, organizational, and human perspectives. They point out that digitization is not an end in itself, but rather a process of change that impacts user experience and organizational outcomes. In education, this emphasizes the need to consider pedagogical, motivational, and social factors when implementing hybrid programs, ensuring that technology effectively enhances teaching and learning, beyond the mere digitization of content.

Aldhafeeri & Alotaibi (2023) reflect on reimagining education for a successful and sustainable digital shift. They emphasize that educational digital transformation must integrate policies, teacher competencies, technological infrastructure, and assessment strategies, ensuring that hybrid learning is inclusive, accessible, and focused on quality learning, with long-term implications for educational innovation and equity.

Bashir & Lapshun (2025) study future trends in e-learning in higher education, highlighting the expansion of intelligent platforms, learning analytics, and content personalization. They point out that institutions will need to adapt to more flexible, data-driven, and student-centered learning, adopting hybrid strategies that combine face-to-face and virtual learning in a complementary way, maximizing pedagogical effectiveness and the student experience.

Aiken (2025) examines the benefits and challenges of hybrid learning from multiple perspectives, demonstrating that, while it promotes flexibility and access to diverse education, it requires pedagogical coordination, teacher training, and technological adaptation. Furthermore, he points out that the perceptions of students and teachers directly influence the effectiveness of these modalities, highlighting the importance of continuously evaluating the educational experience.

Fabian et al. (2024) studied student preferences in hybrid learning models, showing that the possibility of participating simultaneously in face-to-face and virtual classes increases motivation, engagement, and satisfaction. Their findings suggest that hybrid designs should focus on flexibility, accessibility, and consistent technological integration to address diverse learning needs.

Ma'arif et al. (2025) compare the effectiveness of hybrid learning versus fully online learning, concluding that combining face-to-face and virtual interactions improves conceptual understanding and student engagement. The results underscore that hybrid education is a highly effective pedagogical strategy when implemented with adequate planning and institutional support.

Raes et al. (2020) investigated student participation in hybrid classrooms and the impact of formative assessments, such as quizzes, on motivation and learning. The study demonstrates that continuous interaction and immediate feedback are crucial for maintaining student engagement and promoting self-regulation in hybrid environments, offering a replicable model for planning online and face-to-face activities.

Bedoya Ulla et al. (2022) conceptualize hybrid teaching through post-pandemic practice, integrating lessons learned about pedagogical design, student-teacher interaction, and technological adaptation. They point out that effective implementation requires flexibility, methodological innovation, and constant evaluation to optimize the educational experience in hybrid models.

Niyomves et al. (2024) describe the combination of face-to-face and online learning as a hybrid model that allows for flexibility, personalization, and educational continuity. This study emphasizes that intentional activity planning, the use of interactive digital resources, and pedagogical guidance are essential to maximizing the benefits of these modalities.

Bright & Vogler (2024) studied the evolution of students' preferences and perceptions of online and face-to-face learning, showing that flexibility, autonomy, and interaction are key factors in their choice of learning modality. Their findings offer critical information for designing hybrid programs that respond to students' changing expectations and needs.

Yorganci (2025) investigates the impact of online synchronous discussions and flipped learning on the engagement and self-regulation of preliminary mathematics students. The results indicate that the active interaction and organized structure of flipped learning increase motivation, participation, and self-efficacy, reinforcing the need for hybrid strategies that combine synchronous sessions and autonomous activities to optimize learning outcomes.

The research reviewed on online and hybrid learning shows that these modalities are essential for educational innovation in higher education and that their success depends on multiple interrelated factors. The importance of strategically integrating technology is highlighted, considering both virtual and physical environments and the organization of resources, in order to foster collaboration, meaningful interaction, and active learning. Intentional activity planning, pedagogical guidance, and the use of interactive digital tools are key to ensuring that students adapt to hybrid models and participate autonomously and responsibly.

The importance of institutional and academic leadership is also highlighted, as the vision, coordination, and commitment of administrators and faculty determine the effectiveness of digital implementation and the integration of technological skills in students and teachers. Likewise, ongoing teacher training and pedagogical reflection are essential for educators to design meaningful hybrid learning experiences, foster student participation, and maintain high standards of quality.

Among the benefits of these modalities are flexibility, personalized learning, expanded access to educational resources, and the possibility of combining face-to-face and virtual interaction according to students' preferences. However, challenges have also been identified, such as time management, motivation, the digital divide, and the need to develop advanced skills in both teachers and students. To overcome these challenges, the implementation of formative assessments, learning analytics, immediate feedback, and strategies that promote self-regulation and peer collaboration is proposed.

The implementation of these modalities faces challenges, such as the digital divide, the need for teacher training in digital skills, resistance to traditional pedagogical changes, and the assessment of distributed learning. Overcoming these challenges is crucial for pedagogical innovation to translate into concrete improvements in educational quality (Hidalgo Barreno et al., 2023).

Current trends include the integration of data analytics, adaptive systems, and multimodal learning to personalize student experiences (Becerra et al., 2025). This contributes to more inclusive educational environments focused on active learning. Future trends point toward more flexible, data-driven, and student-centered learning, with adaptive hybrid models that effectively combine face-to-face and

virtual learning, incorporate appropriate socio-material spaces, and promote sustainable and equitable educational innovation. These strategies aim to maximize the educational experience, strengthen institutional resilience, and ensure that hybrid learning is accessible, inclusive, and of high quality.

## CONCLUSIONS

Hybrid and online learning environments have proven to be essential drivers for transforming higher education, offering experiences that combine face-to-face and virtual interaction, flexibility, personalization, and expanded access to knowledge. The integration of interactive tools, learning management platforms, data analytics, and real-time formative assessments enhances self-regulation, motivation, and the collaborative construction of knowledge. Hybrid models, when implemented with strategic planning and strong institutional support, increase student participation, conceptual understanding, and satisfaction, generating educational pathways adapted to the pace and needs of each learner. Socio-material spaces, ergonomics, and the availability of technological resources become strategic elements, ensuring that technology does not replace educational interaction but rather significantly enhances it.

The success of these modalities requires a comprehensive approach that integrates technology, pedagogical design, institutional leadership, and advanced digital skills for both teachers and students. Flexibility, equity, and educational quality depend on clear policies, ongoing training, and active methodologies that foster collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking. Likewise, overcoming challenges such as the digital divide, resistance to change, and time management demands innovative strategies aimed at maximizing learning and ensuring the inclusion of all students.

Looking to the future, hybrid and online education is projected as the core of 21st-century educational innovation, capable of building more resilient, adaptive, and student-centered universities. The combination of face-to-face and digital learning, supported by data and intelligent analytics, will allow institutions to offer high-impact, inclusive, and sustainable educational experiences, consolidating a teaching model that not only responds to current challenges but also anticipates the future demands of an interconnected, technologically advanced, and knowledge-oriented global society. In this sense, hybrid education ceases to be an alternative and becomes a transformative strategy that redefines how we teach, learn, and build knowledge in the digital age.

## REFERENCES

Abu-Ali, A. N. (2024). The Effect of E-Learning in the Digital Age. *Creative Education*, 15, 2486-2498. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2024.1512151>

- Aiken, F. J. (2025). The benefits and challenges of using blended learning and hybrid learning from different perspectives. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 40(3), 231–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2025.2512464>
- Aldhafeeri, F. M., & Alotaibi, A. A. (2023). Reimagining Education for Successful and Sustainable Digital Shifting. *Sage Open*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231154474>
- Bashir, S., & Lapshun, A. L. (2025). E-learning future trends in higher education in the 2020s and beyond. *Cogent Education*, 12(1), Article 2445331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2445331>
- Becerra, A., Cobos, R., & Lang, C. (2025). Enhancing online learning by integrating biosensors and multimodal learning analytics. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.07742>
- Bedoya Ulla, M., & Franco Perales, W. (2022). Hybrid teaching: Conceptualization through practice for the post COVID-19 pandemic education. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 924594. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.924594>
- Benson, R., & Brack, C. (2009). Developing the Scholarship of Teaching: What Is the Role of E-Teaching and Learning? *Teaching in Higher Education*, 14, 71-80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510802602590>
- Bright, K., & Vogler, J. (2024). Learning Online vs. Learning in Person: A Mixed-Methods Approach to Understanding How Student Preferences and Perceptions have Evolved Since the Pandemic. *Online Learning*, 28(4). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v28i4.4565>
- Cáceres-Mesa, M. L. (2026). *Educación Superior en tiempos de inteligencia artificial: pedagogía, evaluación y bienestar*. Sophia Editions.
- Chávez-Cárdenas, M. d. C., Fernández-Marín, M. Á., & Lamí-Rodríguez del Rey, L. E. (2025). *Educational Web and Artificial Intelligence: Transforming Contemporary Learning*. Sophia Editions.
- Davis, C. (2025). Higher Ed Classroom 2025/6: Atlona news. *AV Technology*. <https://www.avnetwork.com/news/higher-ed-classroom-2025-6-atlona>
- Fabian, K., Smith, S., & Taylor-Smith, E. (2024). Being in two places at the same time: A future for hybrid learning based on student preferences. *TechTrends*, 68, 693–704. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-024-00974->
- Ghilay, Y. (2024). Rapid transition to online learning: Faculty distance training on LMS, synchronous/asynchronous learning, and computer-assisted assessment. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 13(6), 61-69. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1457127.pdf>
- Gudoniene, D., Staneviciene, E., Huet, I., Dickel, J., Dieng, D., Degroote, J., Rocio, V., Butkiene, R., & Casanova, D. (2025). *Hybrid teaching and learning in higher education: A systematic literature review*. Sustainability, 17(2), 756. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17020756>
- Hall, E. (2023). *Online and hybrid learning are increasingly popular. Now colleges have to keep up*. The Chronicle of Higher Education. <https://www.chronicle.com/article/online-and-hybrid-learning-is-increasingly-popular-now-colleges-have-to-keep-up>
- Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., & Antunes Marante, C. (2020). A Systematic Review of the Literature on Digital Transformation: Insights and Implications for Strategy and Organizational Change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58, 1159-1197. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639>
- Heathen, T. S. (2025). *Learning Management Systems for hybrid learning: The new trend*. [https://www.preprints.org/frontend/manuscript/6ac0c58ae8a20530ab2edc866262485d/download\\_pub](https://www.preprints.org/frontend/manuscript/6ac0c58ae8a20530ab2edc866262485d/download_pub)
- Hidalgo Barreno, M. del P., Zambrano Torres, A. J., & Ayala Robalino, R. J. (2023). Potenciando la educación híbrida: métodos estratégicos y herramientas en línea. *Polo del Conocimiento*, 8(11). <https://polodelconocimiento.com/ojs/index.php/es/article/view/6214/15658>
- Labate, H., & Opertti, R. (2023). *Policías to promote hybrid education*. UNESCO. [https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386999\\_eng](https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386999_eng)
- Ma'arif, M., Santoso, R., Arif, D. B., & Nuryana, Z. (2025). The battle of learning modes: Hybrid vs online learning in higher education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i2.20870>
- Mendieta-Aragón, A., Arguedas-Sanz, R., Ruiz-Gómez, L. M., & Navío-Marco, J. (2023). Tackling the challenge of peer learning in hybrid and online universities. *Education and information technologies*, 28(4), 4505–4529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11397-7>
- Müller, C., & Mildemberger, T. (2021). Facilitating flexible learning by replacing classroom time with an online learning environment: A systematic review of blended learning in higher education. *Educational Research Review*, 34, 100394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.100394>
- Navaridas-Nalda, F., Clavel-San Emeterio, M., Fernández-Ortiz, R., & Arias-Oliva, M. (2020). The Strategic Influence of School Principal Leadership in the Digital Transformation of Schools. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 112, Article 106481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106481>

- Niyomves, B., Kunacheva, N. ., & Sutadarat, S. (2024). Hybrid Learning: A combination of face-to-face and online learning. *Journal of Education and Learning Reviews*, 1(3), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.60027/jelr.2024.673>
- Raes, A., Vanneste, P., Pieters, M., Windey, I., Van Den Noortgate, W., & Depaepe, F. (2020). Learning and instruction in the hybrid virtual classroom: An investigation of students' engagement and the effect of quizzes. *Computers & Education*, 143, 103682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103682>
- Ramírez-Mera, U. N., Ramírez Díaz, J. A., & Palencia, M. M. (2025). Transforming hybrid learning spaces in higher education: Digital technology integration and the role of socio-material and embodied spaces across the pandemic timeline. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 44(8), 2065–2081. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2025.2503821>
- Shephard, K., Luong, H., Connelly, S., & Tewari, V. (2024). University teachers' perspectives on synchronous in-person and distance teaching and learning (SynPaD hybrid). *Discover Education*, 3, 200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00316-2>
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Qi Dong, J., Fabian, N. et al. (2021). Digital Transformation: A Multidisciplinary Reflection and Research Agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- Wang, X., Liu, J., Jia, S., Hou, C., Jiao, R., Yan, Y., Ma, T., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Wen, H., Wang, Y. F., Zhu, H., & Liu, X. Y. (2024). Hybrid teaching after COVID-19: advantages, challenges and optimization strategies. *BMC medical education*, 24(1), 753. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05745-z>
- Yorganci, S. (2025). The impact of synchronous online discussions and online flipped learning on student engagement and self-regulation among preliminary undergraduates in a basic math course. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 73, 1569–1600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-025-10459-0>

### **Conflicts of Interest:**

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

### **Author Contributions:**

Sahand Mahdavi-Zargari: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, supervision, validation, visualization, original draft writing, and writing, review, and editing.

### **Ethical statement:**

The study was based on the analysis of documentary sources and publicly available data, and therefore did not

involve the direct participation of human subjects. No personally identifiable information was handled.